

## STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION

Division of Underground Storage Tanks
4th Floor, L & C Tower
401 Church Street
Nashville, TN 37243-1541

November 4, 1996

Mr. Jeff Wilcox Ken Wilcox Associates 1125 Valley Ridge Gram Valley, MO. 64029

Dear Jeff.

Pursuant to our conversation on October 17, I am sending a clarification of the position of the NWGLDE SIB Subcommittee regarding licensing SIR methods and the. possibility for Third Party Evaluations on them.

It is our opinion that if a SIB method has been third party evaluated, and subsequently sold or its use licensed to another party, and used by those parties without modifications affecting its performance, then another evaluation is not needed This opinion would not prohibit a vendor from licensing or assigning the use of a method to another party or vendor, who would provide SIB services using the original vendor's listing. No separate listing will be given to subsequent vendors as long as the program is unchanged If however, any modifications are made to the algorithms or leak detecting abilities of the method, or any changes that would affect the P(d) or P(fa) as determined in the previous evaluation, then a subsequent evaluation will be needed

For example, if Company A developed a SIR method called SIRVIVE version 1.0 and then licensed its use to Company B who operated it unchanged, Company B would not need a reevaluation of SIRVIVE. There would not be a separate listing in the NWGLDE List for Company B using SIRVIVE version 1.0. If however, Company B changed the formulae or modified the statistical calculating ability in any way, then the modified method must be reevaluated and receive a separate listing in the NWGLDE publication

Should Company B desire to provide a SIR service using Company A's method and wish to have a separate listing in the NWGLDE List, then Company B must undergo a separate third party evaluation using different data sets, and without any assistance from the original vendor. 'The third party evaluator will certify Company B if evaluation results meet or exceed EPA requirements. Company B may then receive a separate listing in the List following Work Group review.

In addition, there has been much discussion about methods that were evaluated as services as opposed to "stand alone" software systems. A "stand alone" system would be one that is software driven and calculates results independent of any data manipulation by the operator other than raw data entry. It is our

opinion that if a SIR method is designed to be operated as a "stand alone" software system or licensed for use by others, that should be made known to the evaluator, and the method evaluated as a "stand alone." SIR system. For this evaluation, a copy of the software program would be supplied to the evaluator. The evaluator would use this software to calculate leak rates from datasets. The vendor is not involved in the evaluation process in any way other than providing the program to the evaluator and instructions on loading the software. The Work Group might be skeptical of SIR methods that were not designed as "stand alone" systems being used as such, without first having undergone an evaluation as a "stand alone" system. I am aware- that this opinion was not in place when many SIR systems currently on the list were evaluated. I trust that as a new SIR protocol is developed, guldelines that express this will be incorporated into the new protocol. I would also hope that as other vendors go back for reevaluations, they can communicate the anticipated uses for their method(s), so that if a "stand alone" evaluation is needed, it can be done.

I hope this answers your questions. If there are others, please call me at 615 532-0952.

Sincerely, Brodley

**Lamar** Bradley

Chairman, SIR Subcommittee

National Work Group on Leak Detection Evaluations

cc: Mike Kadri
Beth DeHaas